1	JOHN JAY COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
2	City University of New York	
3	COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES	
4	Minutes of October 18, 2024	
5		
6	The Committee on Graduate Studies held a remote meeting on October 10, 2024, via Zoom.	
7	Interim Dean Andrew Sidman called the meeting to order.	
8		
9	Present: Jana Arsovska, Marta Concheiro-Guisan, Shweta Jain, Simone Martin-Howard, Dominic	
10	Stellini, Susan Pickman, Ian Seda Irizarry, Ellen Sexton, David Shapiro, Andrew Sidman, Robert	
11	Till, Susan Kang, Ruby Aguirre, Roy Avijit, Valerie West	
12		
13	Absent: Kendra Doychak, Rebecca Weiss, Daniel Matos, Chitra Raghavan, Lucia Velotti	
14		
15	Non-Voting Members and Guests: Maggie Arismendi, Janice Johnson-Dias, Katherine Killoran,	
16	Patrizia Pelgrift, Elena Lenihan, Abbie Tuller, Mechthild Printz	
17		
18	I. Dean's Announcements – Interim Dean Andrew Sidman	
19		
20	Interim Dean Sidman welcomed everyone and introduced the new Assistant Vice President	
21	for Student Affairs and Dean of Students, Dominic Stellini who described his diverse	
22	background in higher education, having worked at City College and Columbia University. His	
23	roles have spanned both academic affairs and student affairs, including pre-college	
24	programs, student onboarding, enrollment management, and data analysis.	
25		
26	Interim Dean Sidman went on to discuss a gap in the evaluation process for graduate	
27	program directors at John Jay College. The previous Office of Graduate Studies, headed by a	
28	Dean, provided evaluations for graduate program directors. However, after a reorganization	
29	in 2022, this responsibility fell into a gray area. Interim Dean Sidman, as chair of the	
30	committee, feels a responsibility to address this issue and ensure that graduate program	
31	directors receive proper evaluation. Interim Dean Sidman announced that the Provost has	
32	tasked him with the responsibility of evaluating graduate programs. To streamline this	
33	process, he plans to revise the evaluation instrument used for program directors,	
34	incorporating feedback from the graduate program directors to ensure it aligns with current	
35	priorities and needs. The goal is to provide a comprehensive evaluation that includes	

1		relevant data and information to support the growth and improvement of graduate
2		programs.
3		
4	П.	Approval of the minutes of September 6, 2024
5		
6		There was no discussion.
7		
8	Ar	notion was made and seconded to approve the minutes of September 6, 2024. The
9	mi	nutes were approved unanimously with 13 votes in favor.
10		
11	III.	New Business
12		
13		A. Graduate Program Faculty Approval
14		5 7 11
15		Interim Dean Sidman introduced the next item on this agenda, and proposed to take the
16		vote as a slate to approve all seven new faculty members for the Digital Forensics and
17		Cybersecurity and Economics master's programs. One of the faculty members, Julio Huato,
18		was previously approved but did not teach at the time, so his CV was included again for
19		reference. The rest of the faculty names were: Dexter Smith, John McFarland, Luciana
20		Wachholtz, Maryam Vatankhah, Wilder Umana (all Digital Forensics and Cybersecurity MS),
21		and Yahya Mete Madras (Economics MA).
22		
23		There was no further discussion.
24		
25	Ar	notion was made and seconded to take this vote as a slate, and approve the appointment
26	of	all seven new faculty members. The committee unanimously approved the appointment of
27	all	seven new faculty members as a slate. This decision was made with 13 votes in favor.
28		
29		B. Planning for Graduate Student Research Symposium
30		Interim Dean Sidman moved onto the next agenda item – planning the Graduate Student
31		Research Symposium, part of the Research and Creativity Expo (formerly Research Week).
32		The symposium began online during the pandemic and has since transitioned to an in-
33		person event in the Moot Court. It has been a successful platform for graduate students to
34		showcase their research, with faculty and some administrators, like President Mason,
35		attending and expressing appreciation for the students' work.
36		
37		Today, the main focus is selecting a date for the event. The Research Expo runs from April
38		30 to May 9, and the Office for Student Research and Creativity suggests Thursday, May 8,
39		as a potential date. The symposium typically starts at 6 PM to accommodate students'

- schedules. Interim Dean Sidman asked participants to check their calendars and confirm
   whether May 8 may work or if another date would be preferable, emphasizing that early
   planning would facilitate smoother event logistics.
- 4 5
  - After much discussion of dates among the members, Professor Valerie West proposed a motion for this to be held on May 1<sup>st</sup> at 6pm.
- 6 7

## A motion was made and seconded to hold this event on Thursday, May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2025 at 6pm. The motion was approved unanimously with 14 votes in favor.

10

26

32

40

Interim Dean Sidman's final agenda item addressed draft revised Guidelines for Writing 11 Across the Curriculum, included in the meeting packet. The draft is intended for review 12 and feedback but is not up for a vote yet; it is meant to initiate an important discussion 13 14 about integrating writing instruction into the curriculum. The process started with developing a writing rubric for General Education courses and aims to broaden the types 15 of writing skills cultivated in students. Interim Dean Sidman referenced outdated 16 guidelines from 1997, noting the need for a more thoughtful approach to writing 17 instruction, and emphasized that feedback from the group is especially important. This 18 19 is because the goal is to create comprehensive guidelines that encompass all levels, 20 including graduate writing, which is currently lacking in the draft. 21

- Interim Dean Sidman ultimately invited input from graduate directors and instructors
   about what should be included in the guidelines. There will be further discussions,
   including a potential follow-up with Professor Tim McCormack to address feedback
   received.
- Interim Dean Sidman opened the floor for new business or announcements, and
  Professor Concheiro-Guisan sought clarification on the ultimate goal for writing
  instruction in graduate programs. Is the aim to incorporate writing into each course,
  establish a writing boot camp, create a special course, or simply develop general
  guidelines?

Interim Dean Sidman emphasizes that there would not a single goal for writing instruction in graduate programs; rather, it would encompass multiple initiatives. These include integrating writing into various courses, developing specialized writing courses led by discipline faculty, and enhancing existing courses through a writing curriculum project. The overall aim is to improve students' exposure to effective writing instruction, supported by professional development for faculty. The Guidelines are the framework that would assist faculty in helping students become better writers.

Professor Valerie West wanted to revisit the evaluation of graduate or program
 directors and emphasized the need for CUNY to create a new data column in CUNY First

- to identify online-only students. She expressed that the current sub plan is inadequate
   for this purpose. This is because the student group codes are not being maintained if
   they move from online-only students to in-person students. She was concerned that it
   may hurt the ranking of her department's programs.
- Associate Dean Killoran assessed that the issue may be the lack of maintenance in CUNY
  First, however, she commented that she was not familiar with the sub plan. Interim
  Dean Sidman agreed that the coding was inconsistent, offered to investigate the issue
  with the Registrar's office, and would include Associate Dean Killoran in the
  communications.
- 11

16

22

5

- Director Pickman then wondered whether there might be individuals on campus who could teach debate techniques to students, aiming to facilitate organized discussions and debates. The goal would be to help students learn how to communicate effectively and respectfully.
- Interim Dean Sidman acknowledged that the answer may depend on the definition of
  debate, but confirmed that there were faculty specializing in oral communication. He
  noted a change in tone at the Town Hall meetings from September to October,
  attributing part of this to Maria Volpe's work with students through the dispute
  resolution program she runs.
- Interim Dean Sidman also offered to resend information about a program from
   University Interim Dean Lopes' office that offers funding for faculty to develop initiatives
   related to civic engagement. This program focuses on fostering civil communication
   about contentious issues. Faculty interested in this area are encouraged to apply for
   resources to support their work.
- 28
  - There were no further questions, and Interim Dean Sidman concluded the meeting.
- 29 30

31 The meeting ended at 1:05 PM.

- 32
- 33 Submitted by,
- 34 Patrizia Pelgrift, scribe